

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/764,292 01/22/2004 Tadeusz Wieloch AGYT-041 9165 **EXAMINER** 24353 7590 08/08/2006 **BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP** MONTANARI, DAVID A 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303 1632

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/764,		WIELOCH, TADEUSZ		
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		David M	ontanari	1632		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	Idress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Nasions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this composition of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. latutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIO vent, however, may a reply be till expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .				
·		2b)⊠ This action is				
3)	· _					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election re	quirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority		• •	<u></u>	_	
	3. Copies of the certified copies	• •		ed in this National	Stage	
+ 6	application from the Internation	·	• • •	1		
^ S	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the cei	tified copies not receiv	ea.		
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informat		O-152)	
. —	rr No(s)/Mail Date	10/30/00)	6) Other:	The second of th	- · · · · · ·	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of generating an animal model exhibiting a pathological condition of Alzheimer's disease, classified in class 800, subclass 21.
- II. Claims 17-39, drawn to a method of developing a modulator of pathogenesis ofAlzheimer's disease, classified in class 800, subclass 3.
- III. Claims 40-54, drawn to a method of developing a modulator of an Alzheimer's disease-associated gene or protein, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

Groups I and II are distinct. Group I is drawn to method of generating an Alzheimer's animal model. Group II is drawn to method of developing a modulator of Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis using the animal generated by the method of Group I. The method of generating and animal model would require materially distinct and separate protocols from the method of developing a modulator for Alzheimer's disease in an animal model Alzheimer's disease.

Groups I and III are distinct. Group I is drawn to method of generating an Alzheimer's animal model. Group III is drawn to a method of developing a modulator of an Alzheimer's disease associated gene or protein in an isolated sample from the animal generated by the method of Group I. The method of generating and animal model would require materially distinct and separate protocols from the method of developing a modulator for Alzheimer's disease

Art Unit: 1632

associated gene or protein.

Groups II and III are distinct. Group II is drawn to method of developing a modulator of Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis using the animal generated by the method of Group I. Group III is drawn to a method of developing a modulator of an Alzheimer's disease associated gene or protein in an isolated sample from the animal generated by the method of Group I. The methods of Group II and III require materially distinct and separate protocols because the method of Group II is done in the animal, whereas the method of Group III is done in an isolated sample the animal.

Based upon the restriction requirement above an undo search burden would be required to search

all of the distinct groups.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined.

See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so**may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required is different among each group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Montanari whose telephone number is 1-571-272-3108. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,292

Art Unit: 1632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on 1-571-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 1-571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A. Montanari, Ph.D.

DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
OLIBERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5